

CONVERGENCE OF NONAUTONOMOUS EVOLUTIONARY ALGORITHM

BY MARCIN RADWAŃSKI

Abstract. We present a general criterion guaranteeing the stochastic convergence of a wide class of nonautonomous evolutionary algorithms used for finding the global minimum of a continuous function. This paper is an extension of paper [6], where autonomous case was presented. Our main tool here is a cocycle system defined on the space of probabilistic measures and its stability properties.

1. Introduction. This paper concerns the problem of numerically finding a point or points at which a given function attains its global minimum (maximum). Let $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function and assume that its minimum value is zero, $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $A^* = \{x \in A : f(x) = 0\}$ be the set of all the solutions of the problem. We are interested in the class of stochastic methods that are known as *evolutionary algorithms*. A general form of such an algorithm is as follows

$$x_n = T(n, x_{n-1}, y_n), \quad x_0 \in A, \quad n = 1, 2, 3 \dots$$

Here T is a given operator, $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence of approximations of the problem and $\{y_n\}$ is a random factor, n represents time. Our aim is to establish a criterion for the stochastic convergence of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ to the set A^* . The same problem, when T does not depend on time n , was considered in [6] and, generally speaking, a sufficient condition is

$$\int f(T(x, y)) dy < f(x).$$

Key words and phrases. Global optimization, nonautonomous evolutionary algorithm, cocycle system, Lyapunov function.

In this paper we extend the above results onto the case of the operator T depending on time by means of some dynamical system, namely

$$x_n = T(\theta^n p, x_{n-1}, y_n), \quad x_0 \in A, \quad p \in P, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

where $\theta : P \rightarrow P$ is a map, θ^n is its n -th iteration. If $P = \{p\}$ is a singleton, we have situation as in [6].

We may, for example, apply our approach to methods that are changed cyclically. In fact, assume there are k operators $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k\}$ and put: $P = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, $\theta(p) = p + 1$ for $p = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$, $\theta(k) = 1$ and $T(q, x, y) = T_q(x, y)$ for $q \in P$.

As in [6], we express our problem in terms of some system defined on the space of probabilistic measures on A . This allow us to use some classical results from the theory of dynamical system.

2. Basic definitions and preliminaries. Let (A, d_A) be a compact metric space, $B = A^l$, for some fixed $d, l \in \mathbb{N}$, $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function having its global minimum $\min f$ on A . Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\min f = 0$. Let $(\Omega, \Sigma, \text{Prob})$ be a probability space and (P, \mathbb{N}, θ) a semi-dynamical system on a compact metric space (P, d_P) . Let $A^* = \{x \in A : f(x) = 0\}$ be the set of all the solutions of the global minimization problem. We define a *nonautonomous evolutionary algorithm* as an algorithm finding points from A^* , given by the formula

$$(1) \quad X_n = T(\theta^n p, X_{n-1}, Y_n), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

Here $p \in P$ is an initial value of dynamical system θ , X_0 is a fixed random variable with a known distribution on A , $X_0 \sim \lambda$. Y_n is a random variable with a known distribution on B , $Y_n \sim \nu$, for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. We assume that $X_0, Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, \dots$ are independent. $T : P \times A \times B \rightarrow A$ is an operator identifying the algorithm, that is a measurable function. Thus, X_n is a random variable with the distribution μ_n for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Let $\mathcal{B}(A), \mathcal{B}(B)$ denote the σ -algebras of Borel subsets of the space A and B , respectively. As all the variables X_n , $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ are defined on Ω , there is

$$\mu_n(C) = \text{Prob}(X_n \in C) \quad \text{for each } C \in \mathcal{B}(A).$$

Let \mathcal{M} be the set of all probabilistic measures on $\mathcal{B}(A)$. It is obvious that $\lambda, \mu_n \in \mathcal{M}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. We check the properties of the sequence $\{X_n\}$ by observing the behavior of the sequence $\{\mu_n\}$. Thus, we recall some facts about the topological properties of \mathcal{M} . It is known (see [7]) that \mathcal{M} with the Fortet-Mourier metric is a compact metric space and its topology is determined by the weak convergence of the sequence of measures as follows. The sequence $\mu_n \in \mathcal{M}$ converges to $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ if and only if for any continuous (so bounded,

by the compactness of A) function $h: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

$$(2) \quad \int_A h(x)\mu_n(dx) \longrightarrow \int_A h(x)\mu_0(dx), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

A useful condition for weak convergence (see [2]) is as follows:

$$(3) \quad \mu_n(C) \longrightarrow \mu_0(C), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

for every $C \in \mathcal{B}(A)$ such that $\mu_0(\partial C) = 0$. We are interested in the convergence of the sequence $\{X_n\}$ to the set A in the stochastic sense, i.e.,

$$(4) \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Prob} \left(d_A(X_n, A) < \varepsilon \right) = 1.$$

In the sequel, we show sufficient conditions for such convergence. Algorithm (1) induces a specific nonautonomous system on the space \mathcal{M} , called a *cocycle system*. In Section 3, we show that the sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ is an orbit of this system. In Section 4, we introduce some asymptotic properties of cocycle systems and prove a theorem corresponding to the Lyapunov Theorem for dynamical systems (Theorem 4.2). It gives sufficient conditions for a set $X^* \subset X$ to be asymptotically stable under a cocycle defined on X . In Section 5, we apply Theorem 4.2 to our case, by constructing the Lyapunov function for the set \mathcal{M}^* which denotes the set of all the measures $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ that are supported on A^* . Theorem 5.2 is the main result, and it gives sufficient conditions on T for the asymptotic stability of \mathcal{M}^* . Theorem 5.3 is a corollary of Theorem 5.2 and gives sufficient conditions for the stochastic convergence of every $\{X_n\}$ to the set A^* .

3. Cocycle systems. Now we recall the concept of a cocycle system. It is a triple $(X, \psi, (P, \mathbb{N}, \theta))$, where X is a metric space, (P, \mathbb{N}, θ) is a semi-dynamical system, and the cocycle mapping $\psi: \mathbb{N} \times P \times X \rightarrow X$ satisfies the conditions:

- (C1) $\psi(0, p, x) = x$ for each $p \in P, x \in X$,
- (C2) $\psi(n + m, p, x) = \psi(n, \theta^m p, \psi(m, p, x))$ for each $p \in P, x \in X, n, m \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (C3) $(p, x) \mapsto \psi(n, p, x)$ is a continuous mapping for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let us fix $q \in P$ for a moment and let $X_n = T(q, X_{n-1}, Y_n)$. It has been proved (see [4, 5, 6]) that for every set $C \in \mathcal{B}(A)$

$$(5) \quad \mu_n(C) = \int_A \left(\int_B I_C(T(q, x, y)) \nu(dy) \right) \mu_{n-1}(dx),$$

and that the above equality defines the *Foias operator* $S_q: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ such that $\mu_n = S_q(\mu_{n-1})$. Here I_C is the indicator function of a set C . Let us define a

new operator $S : P \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ such that $S(q, \mu) = S_q(\mu)$. For each fixed q , it is the Foias operator. By (1) and (5), we get

$$\mu_n = S(\theta^n p, \mu_{n-1}) = S(\theta^n p, S(\theta^{n-1} p, \mu_{n-2})),$$

and by induction,

$$(6) \quad \mu_n = (S(\theta^n p, \cdot) \circ S(\theta^{n-1} p, \cdot) \circ \dots \circ S(\theta p, \cdot))(\lambda).$$

For any measurable function $h : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define the function $Uh : P \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as:

$$Uh(q, x) = \int_B h(T(q, x, y)) \nu(dy).$$

It is known (see [4, 5, 6]) that if $q \in P$ is fixed, then for every measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ and measurable function $h : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ there holds

$$(7) \quad \int_A h(x) S(q, \mu)(dx) = \int_A Uh(q, x) \mu(dx) \quad \text{for each } q \in P,$$

and hence

$$(8) \quad \mu_n(C) = \int_A UI_C(q, x) \mu_{n-1}(dx).$$

We say that an operator T is ν -almost everywhere continuous (ν -a.e. continuous) when the following two conditions hold:

- 1) for each $q \in P, x_0 \in A, x_k \rightarrow x_0 : T(q, x_k, y) \rightarrow T(q, x_0, y)$ a. e. ν ,
- 2) for each $x \in A, q_0 \in P, q_k \rightarrow q_0 : T(q_k, x, y) \rightarrow T(q_0, x, y)$ a. e. ν .

We now prove the following

LEMMA 3.1. *Let T be ν -a.e. continuous. Then S is continuous.*

PROOF. As $P \times \mathcal{M}$ is compact, we can prove the continuity of S with respect to each of the variables separately. First, let us fix $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$. Let $h : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function (thus measurable), $q_n \rightarrow q_0$. We prove that $S(q_n, \mu) \rightarrow S(q_0, \mu)$ in the sense of (2). By the continuity of h and T , for each $x \in A$, there is

$$h(T(q_n, x, y)) \longrightarrow h(T(q_0, x, y)) \quad \text{a. e. } \nu.$$

By the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem (X, P - compact),

$$\int_B h(T(q_n, x, y))(dy) \longrightarrow \int_B h(T(q_0, x, y))(dy).$$

This means that $Uh(q_n, \cdot) \rightarrow Uh(q_0, \cdot)$. Again by the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem and by (7), for each continuous function h , there holds

$$\int_A h(x) dS(q_n, \mu) = \int_A Uh(q_n, x) d\mu \longrightarrow \int_A Uh(q_0, x) d\mu = \int_A h(x) dS(q_0, \mu),$$

which proves the continuity of S with respect to the first variable.

Now fix $q \in P$. Let $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu_0$. We prove that $S(q, \mu_n) \rightarrow S(q, \mu_0)$ in the sense of (2). Let $h: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. From the continuity of T we get

$$Uh(q, x_n) = \int_B h(T(q, x_n, y))(dy) \longrightarrow \int_B h(T(q, x_0, y))(dy) = Uh(q, x_0),$$

for each sequence $x_n \rightarrow x_0$. It means that the function $Uh(q, \cdot): A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. So from (7), there follows

$$\int_A h(x)dS(q, \mu_n) = \int_A Uh(q, x)d\mu_n \longrightarrow \int_A Uh(q, x)d\mu_0 = \int_A h(x)dS(q, \mu_0),$$

which proves that $S(q, \mu_n) \rightarrow S(q, \mu_0)$. \square

We now prove the main result of this section.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let T be ν -a.e. continuous. Then triple $(\mathcal{M}, \psi, (P, \mathbb{N}, \theta))$, where $\psi: \mathbb{N} \times P \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is given by the formula $\psi(n, p, \lambda) = \mu_n$, is a cocycle system.*

PROOF. We prove conditions **(C1)**–**(C3)** from the definition of a cocycle system. Condition **(C1)** is obvious. We prove condition **(C2)**. From (6), for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}, p \in P, \mu \in \mathcal{M}$

$$\psi(n + m, p, \lambda) = (S(\theta^{n+m}p, \cdot) \circ \dots \circ S(\theta^{m+1}p, \cdot) \circ S(\theta^m p, \cdot) \circ \dots \circ S(\theta p, \cdot))(\lambda).$$

Then, by properties of the dynamical system θ ,

$$\psi(n + m, p, \lambda) = S(\theta^n \theta^m p, \cdot) \circ S(\theta^{n-1} \theta^m p, \cdot) \circ \dots \circ S(\theta \theta^m p, \mu_m),$$

and again by (6), we get

$$\psi(n + m, p, \lambda) = \psi(n, \theta^m p, \mu_m) = \psi(n, \theta^m p, \psi(m, p, \lambda)).$$

The continuity (condition **(C3)**) of the cocycle ψ follows from Lemma 3.1, (8) and (6), as ψ is a composition of continuous mappings. \square

4. Stability in cocycle systems. Let $(X, \psi, (P, \mathbb{N}, \theta))$ be a nonautonomous dynamical system (NDS) and let d_H denote the Hausdorff distance (semi-metric) on the space 2^X , i.e.,

$$d_H(A, B) = \sup_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} d_X(a, b).$$

The following notions are taken from [3]. A function $\hat{A}: P \ni p \mapsto A(p)$ taking values in the set of nonempty (compact) subsets of X is called a *nonautonomous (compact) set*. A nonautonomous set \hat{A} is called *forward invariant* under NDS ψ , if for each $p \in P, n \in \mathbb{N}: \psi(n, p, A(p)) \subset A(\theta^n p)$. A nonautonomous compact set \hat{C} is called a *neighborhood* of a set \hat{A} if for each $p \in P: A(p) \subset \text{int } C(p)$.

A nonautonomous set \widehat{A} , compact and forward invariant under ψ is called:

- (i) *stable* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a nonautonomous compact, forward invariant set \widehat{C} which is a neighborhood of \widehat{A} and such that

$$d_H(C(p), A(p)) \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for each } p \in P;$$

- (ii) *attractor* of ψ if for every $p \in P, x \in X$

$$(9) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_X(\psi(n, p, x), A(\theta^n p)) = 0;$$

- (iii) *asymptotically stable* if it is an attractor and is stable.

Let \widehat{A} be a nonautonomous compact set, forward invariant under ψ .

A function $V: P \times X \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is called a *Lyapunov function* for \widehat{A} if

(L1) V is continuous,

(L2) $V(p, x) = 0$ for $x \in A(p)$, $V(p, x) > 0$ for $x \notin A(p)$,

(L3) $V(\theta^n p, \psi(n, p, x)) < V(p, x)$ for each $p \in P, n \in \mathbb{N}, x \notin A(p)$.

The following lemma and its proof are taken from [1].

LEMMA 4.1. *Let X and P be compact metric spaces, V a Lyapunov function for a nonautonomous compact set \widehat{A} , forward invariant under ψ . Then, for each $\delta > 0$, the set \widehat{C}_δ such that*

$$C_\delta(p) = \overline{V^{-1}(p, [0, \delta))} = \overline{\{x \in X : V(p, x) < \delta\}},$$

is a compact nonautonomous set, forward invariant under ψ .

PROOF. Let us first note that for each $p \in P, \delta > 0$, the set $C_\delta(p)$ is compact as a closed subset of a compact set. It remains to show that

$$(10) \quad \psi(n, p, C_\delta(p)) \subset C_\delta(\theta^n p) \quad \text{for each } \delta > 0, p \in P, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $x \in \psi(n, p, C_\delta(p))$. This means that there exists a $y \in C_\delta(p)$ such that $x = \psi(n, p, y)$ and $V(p, y) \leq \delta$. From the properties of a Lyapunov function it follows that $V(\theta^n p, \psi(n, p, y)) \leq V(p, y)$. Therefore,

$$V(\theta^n p, \psi(n, p, y)) = V(\theta^n p, x) \leq \delta,$$

and hence $x \in C_\delta(\theta^n p)$. The proof is complete. \square

Now we prove the main result of this section; the result gives sufficient conditions for the asymptotic stability of nonautonomous sets of the form $A(p) = A^*$ for some compact subset A^* of the set X and for each $p \in P$.

THEOREM 4.2. *Let $(X, \psi, (P, \mathbb{N}, \theta))$ be an NDS and let X and P be compact. If there exists a Lyapunov function V for a nonautonomous compact set \widehat{A} , forward invariant under ψ , of the form $A(p) = A^*$ for each $p \in P$, then the set \widehat{A} is asymptotically stable under ψ .*

PROOF. We begin with showing the stability of \widehat{A} . From condition **(L2)** we conclude that the nonautonomous set \widehat{C}_δ given by Lemma 4.1 is a neighborhood of \widehat{A} . By the forward invariance of \widehat{C}_δ it remains to show that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, we find $\delta > 0$ such that $d_H(C_\delta(p), A(p)) < \varepsilon$ for each $p \in P$. Let us suppose for the contrary that:

$$\exists \varepsilon_0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \forall p_n \in P \exists x_n \in X : x_n \in C_{\frac{1}{n}}(p_n), d_X(x_n, A(p_n)) \geq \varepsilon_0.$$

From the definition of \widehat{C}_δ , there follows $V(p_n, x_n) < \frac{1}{n}$. By the compactness of X and P , without loss of generality, we may assume that $x_n \rightarrow x_0, p_n \rightarrow p_0$ for some $x_0 \in X, p_0 \in P$. Therefore, by continuity of V , we get $V(p_0, x_0) = 0$.

On the other hand, by $A(p) = A^*$, we get $d_X(x_0, A(p_0)) \geq \varepsilon_0$, hence $x_0 \notin A(p_0)$. Again by **(L2)**, we get $V(p_0, x_0) > 0$. This contradicts the above condition: $V(p_0, x_0) = 0$. Thus we have proved the stability of \widehat{A} .

Now we are going to show (9). Define the ω -limit set

$$\omega(p, x) = \{(q, y) \in P \times X : \exists n_k \rightarrow \infty, \theta^{n_k} p \rightarrow q, \psi(n_k, p, x) \rightarrow y\}.$$

By the compactness of P and X , the ω -limit set is nonempty for each (p, x) . We show that V is constant on $\omega(p, x)$. Indeed, let $(q, y), (r, z) \in \omega(p, x)$. This means that there exist sequences $\{n_k\}, \{m_k\}$ divergent to infinity such that

$$\theta^{n_k} p \rightarrow q, \psi(n_k, p, x) \rightarrow y, \theta^{m_k} p \rightarrow r, \psi(m_k, p, x) \rightarrow z.$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that $n_k < m_k < n_{k+1} < m_{k+1}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then from property **(L3)** we get

$$\begin{aligned} V(\theta^{n_k} p, \psi(n_k, p, x)) &\leq V(\theta^{m_k} p, \psi(m_k, p, x)) \\ &\leq V(\theta^{n_{k+1}} p, \psi(n_{k+1}, p, x)) \leq V(\theta^{m_{k+1}} p, \psi(m_{k+1}, p, x)). \end{aligned}$$

By the continuity of V (property **(L1)**):

$$V(q, y) \leq V(r, z) \leq V(q, y) \leq V(r, z),$$

and hence $V(q, y) = V(r, z)$.

Now let $(q, y) \in \omega(p, x), \theta^{n_k} p \rightarrow q, \psi(n_k, p, x) \rightarrow y$. For some fixed n , let $m_k = n_k + n$. Then from the properties of DS and NDS, we get $\theta^{m_k} p = \theta^n \theta^{n_k} p \rightarrow \theta^n q$, and $\psi(m_k, p, x) = \psi(n_k + n, p, x) = \psi(n, \theta^{n_k} p, \psi(n_k, p, x)) \rightarrow \psi(n, q, y)$. By the definition of an ω -limit set, it means that $(\theta^n q, \psi(n, q, y)) \in \omega(p, x)$.

Now from the above we get $V(\theta^n q, \psi(n, q, y)) = V(q, y)$. Hence, by property **(L3)**, $y \in A(q) = A^*$. As X and P are compact, for every sequence $\{x_k\}$ in X there exists a convergent subsequence $\{x_{k_i}\}$ and, by the above, $x_{k_i} = \psi(n_{k_i}, p, x) \rightarrow A^*$. Therefore,

$$d_X(\psi(n_{k_i}, p, x), A(\theta^n p)) = d_x(\psi(n_{k_i}, p, x), A^*) \longrightarrow 0,$$

for each p, x . The proof is complete. \square

5. Main result. Assume that ψ is the cocycle defined by Theorem 3.2. Let \mathcal{M}^* denote the set of all the measures $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ supported on A^* . Let $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ denote the nonautonomous set of the form $\mathcal{M}(p) = \mathcal{M}^*$ for each $p \in P$.

LEMMA 5.1. *Let T be ν -a.e. continuous and assume that:*

$$(11) \quad T(q, x, y) \in A^* \quad \text{for all } x \in A^*, q \in P, y \in Y.$$

Then $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ is a compact nonautonomous set, forward invariant under ψ .

PROOF. In Section 2, we noted that \mathcal{M} is compact. We prove that $\mathcal{M}^* \subset \mathcal{M}$ is closed. Indeed, let $\mu_n \in \mathcal{M}^*$ and $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu_0$. Then from the continuity of f there follows

$$0 = \int_A f(x)\mu_n(dx) \longrightarrow \int_A f(x)\mu_0(dx).$$

Therefore, $\int_A f(x)\mu_0(dx) = 0$ and $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{M}^*$.

As $\mathcal{M}(p) = \mathcal{M}^*$ for each $p \in P$, it remains to show that $\psi(n, p, \mathcal{M}^*) \subset \mathcal{M}^*$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}, p \in P$. By (6), it remains to show that $S(q, \mathcal{M}^*) \subset \mathcal{M}^*$ for each $q \in P$.

Let $q \in P$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^*$. We want to show that $S(q, \mu) \in \mathcal{M}^*$.

Let us first note that from (11) there follows

$$I_{A^*}(T(q, x, y)) \geq I_{A^*}(x) \quad \text{for each } x \in A, q \in P, y \in Y.$$

By (5) and the above, we get

$$\begin{aligned} S(q, \mu)(A^*) &= \int_A \left(\int_B I_{A^*}(T(q, x, y))\nu(dy) \right) \mu(dx) \\ &\geq \int_A \left(\int_B I_{A^*}(x)\nu(dy) \right) \mu(dx). \end{aligned}$$

By Fubini's Theorem (ν and μ are probabilistic measures), and by the assumption $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^*$,

$$S(q, \mu)(A^*) \geq \int_B \left(\int_A I_{A^*}(x)\mu(dx) \right) \nu(dy) = \int_B 1\nu(dy) = 1.$$

Therefore, $S(q, \mu)(A^*) = 1$, which means that $\text{supp } S(q, \mu) \subset A^*$, and the assertion follows. \square

Now we prove the main result of this paper.

THEOREM 5.2. *Let T be ν -a.e. continuous, satisfy condition (11) and let*

$$(12) \quad \int_B f(T(q, x, y))\nu(dy) < f(x).$$

Then $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ is asymptotically stable under ψ .

PROOF. By Lemma 5.1, the set $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ is compact and forward invariant. Define a function $V : P \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$V(p, \mu) = \int_A f(x) \mu(dx).$$

We show that V satisfies conditions **(L1)**–**(L3)** from the definition of a Lyapunov function in Section 4.

Condition **(L1)** is obvious as f is continuous and V is constant with respect to the variable p . Let us note that $V(p, \mu) \geq 0$ for each p, μ . If $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(p) = \mathcal{M}^*$, then obviously $V(p, \mu) = 0$. Let now $V(p, \mu) = 0$ for some measure $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$. Then, by the definition of A^*

$$0 = V(p, \mu) = \int_A f(x) d\mu = \int_{A^*} f(x) d\mu + \int_{A \setminus A^*} f(x) d\mu = \int_{A \setminus A^*} f(x) d\mu.$$

As f is positive on $A \setminus A^*$, $\mu(A \setminus A^*) = 0$, and therefore $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^*$. Condition **(L2)** is proved.

It remains to prove **(L3)**. We first prove that

$$(13) \quad \forall \mu \notin \mathcal{M}^*, \forall q \in P \quad V(q, S(q, \mu)) < V(q, \mu).$$

From (12), for each $x \in A \setminus A^*$,

$$Uf(q, x) = \int_B f(T(q, x, y)) \nu(dy) < f(x).$$

The above equality, (7) and the definition of A^* give

$$\begin{aligned} V(q, S(q, \mu)) &= \int_A f(x) S(q, \mu)(dx) = \int_A Uf(q, x) \mu(dx) \\ &= \int_{A \setminus A^*} Uf(q, x) \mu(dx) < \int_A f(x) \mu(dx) = V(q, \mu), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (13). To show **(L3)** we use (6), the equality $\mu_k = S(\theta^k p, \mu_{k-1})$, for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and (13) (n times):

$$V(\theta^n p, \psi(n, p, \mu)) = V(\theta^n p, \mu_n) < V(\theta^n p, \mu_{n-1}) < \dots < V(\theta^n p, \mu).$$

To end the proof, we use the fact that V is constant with respect to the first variable and Theorem 4.2. \square

The last result is a corollary from the above theorem. It concerns describes the convergence of algorithm (1).

THEOREM 5.3. *Under the conditions of Theorem 5.2:*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Prob}(d_A(X_n, A^*) < \varepsilon) = 1 \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$

PROOF. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $B_\varepsilon(A^*) = \{x \in A : d_A(x, A^*) < \varepsilon\}$ and let μ_n be the measure defined in Section 2, i.e., $\mu_n \sim X_n$, for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, where X_n is a random variable generated by algorithm (1). By Theorem 5.2, $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu_0$, for some measure $\mu_0 \in \mathcal{M}^*$. By (3), it means that $\mu_n(B_\varepsilon(A^*)) \rightarrow \mu_0(B_\varepsilon(A^*)) = 1$. Finally, we get

$$\mu_n(B_\varepsilon(A^*)) = \text{Prob}(X_n \in B_\varepsilon(A^*)) = \text{Prob}(d_A(X_n, A^*) < \varepsilon) \longrightarrow 1,$$

which was to be shown. \square

References

1. Arnold L., *Lyapunovs Second Method for Random Dynamical Systems*, J. Differential Equations, 2001.
2. Ash R., *Real Analysis and Probability*, Academic Press, 1972.
3. Grune L., Kloeden P., Siegmund S., Wirth F., *Lyapunovs Second Method for Nonautonomous Differential Equations*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 2007.
4. Lasota A., Mackey M., *Chaos, Fractals and Noise*, Springer Verlag, 1994.
5. Ombach J., *Stability of Evolutionary Algorithms*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2008.
6. Ombach J., *A Proof of Convergence of General Stochastic Search for Global Minimum*, J. Difference Equ. Appl., **13** (2007), 795–802.
7. Parthasarathy K., *Probability Measures on Metric Spaces*, Academic Press, 1967.

Received November 12, 2007

Institute of Mathematics
 Jagiellonian University
 ul. Reymonta 4
 30-059 Kraków
 Poland